



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,803	12/13/1999	MARIO T. PHILIPP	TUL2AUSA	1398
759				<del>-</del> .
HOWSON AND HOWSON			EXAMINER	
SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER PO BOX 457			SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	in
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/445,803	PHILIPP, MARIO T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
·	ntombor2002					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9September2002</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> </ul>						
·—		recognition as to the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,39,67,81,83,100 and 102</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
<i>,</i>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-326)**

Application No. 09/445,803

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 10-13,16,17,19,21,22,31-33,38,39,47-49,51-53,66-72,81-90 and 97-103.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 16,17,19,21,22,31-33,38,47-49,51-53,66,68-72,82,84-90,97-99,101 and 103.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 10-13,16,17,19,21,22,31-33,38,39,47-49,51-53,66-72,81-90 and 97-103.

Art Unit: 1645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Continued Prosecution Application**

- 1. The request filed on 9September2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/445,803 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. Applicants' Preliminary Amendment, received 9September2002, paper#9, is acknowledged. Claims 35, 73-80, and 91-96 have been canceled.
- 3. Currently, claims 10-13, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 31-33, 38, 39, 47-49, 51-53, 66-72, 81-90, and 97-103 are pending. Claims 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 31-33, 38, 47-49, 51-53, 66, 68-72, 82, 84-90, 97-99, 101, and 103 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
- 4. Claims 10-13, 39, 67, 81, 83, 100, and 102 are under consideration.

## **Specification**

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:
  - a) page 10, lines 13-14, ATCC Acc. Nos. are blank; in addition, Applicant's referral to the deposits of transformed *E. coli* is an insufficient assurance that all required deposits have been made and all the conditions of 37 CFR §§1.801-1.809 have been met. If the deposit has been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicant or assignees or a statement by an attorney of record who has authority and control over the conditions of deposit over his or her signature and

Art Unit: 1645

registration number stating that the deposit has been accepted by an International Depository Authority under the provisions of the Budapest treaty, that all restrictions upon public access to the deposit will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application and that the deposit will be replaced if viable samples cannot be dispensed by the depository is required. This requirement is necessary when deposits are made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty as the Treaty leaves this specific matter to the discretion of each nation. Amendment of the specification to recite the date of deposit and the complete name and full street address of the depository is required.

Applicant's attention is directed to In re Lundeck, 773 F.2d. 1216, 227 USPQ 90 (CAFC 1985) and 37 CFR §§1.801-1.809 for further information concerning deposit practice.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1645

8. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are drawn to a method of recombinantly expressing "the P39.5 protein" or a fragment thereof. However, it is unclear what are the metes and bounds of the term "the P39.5 protein" because there is no designation of the source of the protein, i.e., bacteria, yeast, genus, species, strain, etc.

9. Claim 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, lack of antecedent basis.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the" P39.5 protein in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because there has been no prior recitation of "a" or any P39.5 protein to which "the" refers. It is recommended that the claim recite "a" P39.5 protein to obviate the rejection.

10. Claims 81 and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for detection of Lyme Disease in humans using P39.5 and rP7-1, does not reasonably provide enablement for detection using other fragments, homologs, analogs, or fusion proteins of P39.5 or P7-1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1645

Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the nature of the invention, (2) the state of the prior art, (3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, (4) the amount of direction or guidance present, (5) the presence or absence of working examples, (6) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (7) the relative skill of those in the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The nature of the invention - a kit for diagnosing infection with *B. burgdorferi* in a human or animal comprising a P39.5 protein or fragment thereof.

The state of the prior art - The prior art teaches antigens utilized for diagnosing infection by *B. burgdorferi*. However, the art also teaches that not all antigens are used successfully diagnose *B. burgdorferi* infection.

The amount of direction or guidance present - The instant specification teaches detection of antibodies in the serum of humans with Lyme Disease using whole P39.5 protein or the specific fragment P7-1. However, the specification does not teach the identity of the epitopes responsible for this ability, nor where on the P39.5 whole molecule such capability resides, other than that the specific fragment P7-1 has the capability. Thus, without knowing identifying the required epitopes necessary for detection of infection by *B. burgdorferi*, the claim invention constitutes merely an invitation to experiment in order to determine what fragments, analogs,

Art Unit: 1645

homologs, and fusion proteins other than P39.5 and P7-1 can be utilized for detection of infection.

11. Claims 81 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are drawn to analogs, homologs, and fusion proteins which have ≥80% homology with SEQ ID NO; 2 or 14. However, it is unclear what are the metes and bounds of determining the type of homology being claimed, i.e., consecutive amino acids, every second or third residue, etc, and it unclear what is the function of such constructs.

One of the embodiments (d) of claim 67 is drawn to a fragment of a protein comprising either SEQ ID NO:2 (embodiment b) or 14 (embodiment c). Because of the open language "comprising", the claimed protein embodiment (d) may be a fragment of the nondefined regions of embodiment b or c. Thus, embodiment d is indefinite for both structure and function.

Embodiments j and k of claim 67 are likewise indefinite for both structure and function for the same reasoning as pertains to embodiment d.

It is unclear how the protein of embodiment k can be both a recombinant protein and a chemically synthesized protein at the same time.

12. Claim 102 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1645

§ 2172.01. The omitted steps are: how does one determine the amount of test compound bound to said protein or fragment?

13. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claim is drawn to a protein or fragment thereof of "a *Borrelia*" cassette string, selected from the group consisting of P1-1, P3-1, P6-1, P7-1, P9-1, and P12-1.

The specification only teaches and provides an example of such a cassette string from one species of *Borrelia*, *i.e.*, *B. garinii* IP90. However, the claim language, "a" *Borrelia*, encompasses all of the *Borrelia* genus. Thus, this one example in the specification does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of all cassette strings from all species of *Borrelia*.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 15. Claims 10-13, 39, 67, 81, 83, 100, and 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhang et al (*Cell*, <u>89</u>:275-285, April, 1997).

Art Unit: 1645

The claims are drawn to proteins comprising fragments of P39.5, SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:14, vaccines composition comprising said fragments, methods of immunizing animals with said compositions, methods of identify compounds which bind to said fragments.

Zhang et al teach the claimed inventions by teaching proteins comprising fragments of SEQ ID NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:14 (Figure 2C), recombinant production of said proteins, immunizing of animals with said proteins, detection of antibodies which bind to said proteins, and fusion proteins of said proteins (Experimental Procedures, pages 283-284).

## **Conclusion**

16. No claims are allowed.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (703)308-3909. The facsimile telephone number for the Art Unit Group is (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

Art Unit 1645

September 16, 2002

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER